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Introduction

This contribution proposes to provide updates to Annex 2 of Preliminary Draft New Report ITU-R M.[FOD\_EESS\_SHARE], which contains compatibility studies between FOD and EESS (passive). Specifically, this contribution will incorporate changes to FOD characteristics and update the analyses accordingly.

Modifications against the existing text in the Chairman’s Report are shown in track changes.

**Attachment:** 1

[Content prior to section A2-1.2 remains unchanged in this draft.]

[USA Note: Seek comment on dynamic simulations for FOD into EESS (active). Given that this is a system provided by Japan, should a world-wide deployment be considered for a study of EESS (active)?]

[…]

## A2-1.2 Dynamic analysis based on interference observed with spacecraft orbit simulation

The analysis will be conducted in which the orbit of the EESS (passive) spacecraft under investigation is dynamically simulated, retaining only the data points when the EESS (passive) sensor antenna boresight points within a defined Measurement Area of Interest (MAI), as defined in Recommendation ITU-R RS.2017. Calculations will be performed to determine the potential interference from each of the FOD detection radars into the EESS (passive) sensors under study and will consider the aggregate effect from multiple FOD transmitters. The simulation will propagate the satellite based on its orbital parameters, and the simulation step size is selected to be an irrational number to ensure that the beam dynamics of the passive sensor do not exhibit periodic behavior. At each simulation step, a snapshot of the interference scenario will be generated where the directional vectors from each FOD source to the EESS (passive) sensor will be computed along with the gain of the transmit and receive antennas using their respective antenna patterns.

The interfering signal power level, (dBW/100 MHz), received by a spaceborne radiometer at the simulation step from the terrestrial source is calculated from:

where:

: peak terrestrial source transmitter out-of-band emission power (dBW/100 MHz);

: terrestrial source antenna gain towards spaceborne sensor (dBi);

: spaceborne radar antenna gain towards terrestrial source (dBi);

*:* Free Space Path Loss (dB);

: other losses considered (dB).

The aggregate interference at the simulation step, (dBW/100 Hz), is calculated by the linear summation of the received interference from all transmitting, terrestrial sources within line of sight of spaceborne radiometer under consideration:

Using the resulting data containing received interfering power levels, CCDF curves will be generated to assess interference observed over the MAI.

### A2-1.2.1 Deployment of foreign object debris detection systems

For this initial analysis, the FOD detection systems were assumed to be deployed along the runways of the airports that are classified as large hubs. A large hub is defined as one with greater than 1% of total annual passenger boarding annually. This criterion results in deployment at 1119 runways globally.

This initial analysis considers a deployment over Japan. The FOD detection systems have a down tilt focused on the runways. The FOD detection systems are placed every 200 meters along the runways. In the case where the runway was not a multiple of 200 m, a ceiling function was used to ensure that the entire runway surface would be covered for detection of foreign objects.

### A2-1.2.2 Characteristics of foreign object debris detection systems

The parameters of the FOD detection systems used for this initial analysis are summarized in Table X, with parameters taken from Table 1. The received interference is calculated based on the aggregate power received by all FOD detection systems. The analysis uses the FOD out-of-band power within the 86-82 GHz EESS (passive) band.

[USA Note: Power and spacing taken from liaison statement to 7C, document 7C/204]

Table X

Characteristics of FOD detection system networks

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | Value |
| Maximum out-of-band emission power | -50 dBm/MHz |
| Antenna gain | 44 dBi |
| Antenna Pattern | F.699-8 |
| Antenna Height | 7 m |
| Scan Rate | 15 RPM |
| Antenna Elevation Angle | -1.8° |
| Radiated Rotation Angle (azimuth scan) | +/- 60° |
| Spacing | 200 m |

#### A2-1.2.3 Characteristics of Earth exploration satellite service (passive) system



Relevant information on typical technical and operational characteristics of systems operating in the Earth exploration satellite service (EESS) (passive) systems using allocations between 1.4 and 275 GHz can be found in Recommendation ITU-R RS.1861-0, which is currently under revision in WP 7C [see Document TBD].

contains the performance and interference criteria for satellite passive remote sensing.T f.

/100 MHz

#### A2-1.2.3.1 Earth exploration satellite service (passive) sensor area of interest test cases

As described in Section A2-1.2.1, this study will focus on the airports inside of Japan. Figure X shows the measurement area considered along with the FOD deployment.

FIGURE X

FOD deployment in the EESS (passive) MAI

[TBD]

### A2-1.2.4 Simulation parameters and results

#### A2-1.2.4.1 General simulation parameters

The following table gives the relevant aspects of the simulation.

Table X

General simulation parameters

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Parameter | Units | Value |
| Duration | days | 20 |
| Time step | s | 0.1\*π |
| Atmospheric losses |  | P.676 |
| Polarization losses | dB | 3 |

#### A2-1.2.4.2 Simulation results

[TBD]

# A2-2 Summary of results

[TBD]
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