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| REPLY LIAISON STATEMENT TO WORKING PARTY 4A |
| WRC-23 agenda item 1.15 |
| Sharing and compatibility studies for the operation of earth stations on aircraft and vessels in the frequency band 12.75-13.25 GHz and aeronautical radionavigation systems operating in the 13.25-13.40 GHz frequency band |

Working Party (WP) 5B thanks WP 4A for providing their study, Document [4A/323](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-WP4A-C-0323/en), addressing potential interference to aeronautical radionavigation systems (ARNS) in the 13.25-13.40 GHz band from earth stations on aircraft operating in the adjacent 12.75-13.25 GHz band. The scenario of the study was for cases where the earth station on aircraft and the ARNS are on the same aircraft. WP5B agrees with the study and the results that show under the operational parameters studied that there will not be interference into ARNS systems. Furthermore, for cases where multiple RF equipment are located on an aircraft, EMC analysis are conducted to ensure compatibility between the on-board equipment, and additional measures can be implemented that will ensure compatibility.

WP5B notes that there are two primary electromagnetic interference coupling mechanisms between the radar system and interfering signals from other services. The first mechanism is caused by front-end overload causing saturation, and the generation of intermodulation products. The second is interfering emissions within the receiver IF passband leading to desensitization and degradation of performance resulting in an overall lowered quality radar data output. Given that the studies under AI 1.15 are for potential adjacent band interference to ARNS, it is the second interference that is of concern in this case.

There are two potential scenarios for interference when the ARNS aircraft and ESIM aircraft are in proximity of each other. One case is when the aircrafts are flying towards each other which could result in interference, but that interference would be very time limited and transient in nature. WP5B is of the view that this case will not be problematic. For the second case where the two aircraft are flying in proximity in the same direction and separated by a relatively short distance, e.g. 1 mile, there is the potential for interference and it may not be transient and could last for minutes, depending on the speed of the two aircraft. Although this will not be the case in most circumstances, studies are required to evaluate this worst-case scenario between aircraft. Additionally, WP5B notes that helicopters are also equipped with ARNS, however given the relative velocities of an aircraft compared to a helicopter this interference will be very time limited and transient in nature.

With regard to maritime ESIM stations, given that they will operate within the envelope of fixed Earth stations and move at very low speeds with respect to aircraft, WP5B is [~~therefore~~ ]of the view that earth stations on vessels should not cause more interference to ARNS systems than earth stations on the ground and therefore sharing studies for that case are not required.